

(6) The APD must describe the security requirements to be employed in the proposed computerized support enforcement system;

(7) The APD must describe the intrastate and interstate interfaces set forth in §307.10 to be employed in the proposed computerized support enforcement system;

(8) The APD must describe the projected resource requirements for staff, hardware, and other needs and the resources available or expected to be available to meet the requirements;

(9) The APD must contain a proposed budget including a description of estimated expenditures by category and amount for:

(i) Items that are eligible for Federal funding at the 90 percent rate; and

(ii) Items related to developing and operating the system that are eligible for Federal funding at the applicable matching rate;

(10) The APD must contain an implementation plan and backup procedures to handle possible failures in system planning, design, development, installation or enhancement;

(11) The APD must describe each system considered during planning including the advantages of selecting the proposed solution. If a transfer system is not selected as the proposed solution, a transfer system must be among those systems considered. If a system that is already in place in the State could be enhanced to meet the requirements for a computerized support enforcement system, that system must be among the solutions considered;

(12) The APD must contain a cost benefit analysis of the proposed computerized support enforcement system and all alternatives considered that describes the proposed improvements to the IV-D program in both qualitative and quantitative terms;

(13) The APD must specify the basis for determining direct and indirect costs of the computerized support enforcement system during development and operation, including the methodology for determining costs of planning, design, development, installation or enhancement that are eligible for 90 percent Federal funding versus costs of development and operations that are

eligible for Federal funding at the applicable matching rate;

(14) The APD must contain a statement indicating the period of time the State expects to use the proposed computerized support enforcement system; and

(15) The APD must include any waiver requested in accordance with §307.5 of this chapter.

(c) *Conditions for approval of annual update.* The APD for a computerized support enforcement system described under §307.10 must be updated annually. In order to be approvable, the annual update of an APD for a computerized support enforcement system described under §307.10 must meet only those requirements of paragraph (b) of this section that are prescribed by instructions issued by the Office.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 0960-0343)

[49 FR 33260, Aug. 22, 1984, as amended at 51 FR 37732, Oct. 24, 1986; 55 FR 4379, Feb. 7, 1990; 57 FR 47004, Oct. 14, 1992; 61 FR 67241, Dec. 20, 1996]

§ 307.20 Submittal of advance planning documents for computerized support enforcement systems.

The State IV-D agency must submit an APD for a computerized support enforcement system, approved and signed by the State IV-D Director and the appropriate State official, in accordance with the submission process prescribed in 45 CFR part 95, subpart F.

[55 FR 4379, Feb. 7, 1990, as amended at 57 FR 47005, Oct. 14, 1992]

§ 307.25 Review and certification of computerized support enforcement systems.

The Office will review, assess and inspect the planning, design, development, installation, enhancement and operation of computerized support enforcement systems developed under §307.10 to determine the extent to which such systems:

(a) Meet the requirements found in §307.15; and

(b) Can be certified as meeting the requirements described in §307.10 and

in the OCSE guideline entitled “Automated Systems for Child Support Enforcement: A Guide for States”.

[57 FR 47005, Oct. 14, 1992]

§ 307.30 Federal financial participation at the 90 percent rate for state-wide computerized support enforcement systems.

(a) *Conditions that must be met for FFP.* Until September 30, 1995, Federal financial participation is available at the 90 percent rate in expenditures for the planning, design, development, installation or enhancement of a computerized support enforcement system as described in §§ 307.5 and 307.10 if:

(1) The Office has approved an APD in accordance with § 307.15 of this part;

(2) The system meets the requirements specified in § 307.10;

(3) The Office determines that the expenditures incurred are consistent with the approved APD;

(4) The Office determines that the computerized support enforcement system or alternative system configuration is designed effectively and efficiently and will improve the management and administration of the State IV-D plan;

(5) The State IV-D agency agrees in writing to use the system for a period of time which is consistent with the APD approved by the Office; and

(6) The State or local government has ownership rights in software, software modifications and associated documentation that is designed, developed, installed, or enhanced with 90 percent FFP under this section subject to the Department of Health and Human Services license specified in paragraph (c) of this section.

(b) *Reimbursement of hardware and proprietary software.* (1) Until September 30, 1995, FFP at the 90 percent rate is available for expenditures for the rental or purchase of hardware for the planning, design, development, installation, enhancement or operation of a computerized support enforcement system as described in § 307.10.

(2) Until September 30, 1995, FFP at the 90 percent rate is available for expenditures for the rental or purchase of proprietary operating/vendor software

necessary for the operation of hardware during the planning, design, development, installation, enhancement or operation of a computerized support enforcement system in accordance with the OSCE guideline entitled “Automated Systems for Child Support Enforcement: A Guide for States.” FFP at the 90 percent rate is not available for proprietary application software developed specifically for a computerized support enforcement system. (See § 307.35 regarding reimbursement at the applicable matching rate.)

(c) *HHS rights to software.* The Department of Health and Human Services reserves a royalty-free, non-exclusive and irrevocable license to reproduce, publish or otherwise use, and to authorize others to use for Federal government purposes, software, software modifications, and documentation developed under § 307.10. This license would permit the Department to authorize the use of software, software modifications and documentation developed under § 307.10 in another project or activity funded by the Federal government.

(d) *Consequences of suspension of the APD.* If the Office suspends approval of an APD in accordance with § 307.40 of this part during the planning design, development, installation, enhancement or operation of the system:

(1) The Office shall disallow FFP as of the date the State failed to comply substantially with the approved APD; and

(2) FFP at the 90 and applicable matching rates is not available in any expenditures incurred under the APD after the date of the suspension until the date the Office determines that the State has taken the actions specified in the notice of suspension described in § 307.40(a)(2) of this part. The Office will notify the State in writing upon making such a determination. (See § 307.35(b) regarding reimbursement for disallowed expenditures under part 95, subpart F of this title.)

[49 FR 33260, Aug. 22, 1984, as amended at 50 FR 19657, May 9, 1985; 55 FR 4379, Feb. 7, 1990; 57 FR 47005, Oct. 14, 1992]